

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14795-1997

Date of Decision:-04.12.2019.

Narinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

United India Insurance Company Ltd. and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.P. Ahlawat, Advocate for
Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner was with regard to the selection of respondent Nos.4 and 5 as Assistants (Clerical) in the service of United India Insurance Company Limited.

No interim orders were granted in this writ petition.

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 26.11.2019, Ms. Neha Jain, learned counsel representing Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner, sought an adjournment to ascertain as to whether the cause in the writ petition still survived. The case was accordingly adjourned to 04.12.2019.

Today, Mr. Naresh Kumar, learned counsel, representing Mr.

the ground that he has no instructions in the matter.

It is doubtful as to whether the challenge against the selection of the named respondents would survive after the lapse of over two decades. All the more so, when no interim relief was granted in this writ petition. The record does not even reflect as to whether the petitioner and the named respondents would still continue to remain in the service of the United India Insurance Company Limited at this stage.

In such circumstances, this Court is constrained to dismiss this writ petition for non-prosecution.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

December 04, 2019.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.