

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-6231 of 2019

Date of Decision: May 13, 2019

Anoop Singh	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana		 Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Amit Khatkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder S. Virk, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Shanti, Police Station Women Jind, District Jind submits that in consequence of interim order dated 11.02.2019, the petitioner has since joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation and nothing is to be recovered from him and that he has no objection if the interim order is made absolute.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner is habitual offender.

However, in the light of the statement made by the learned State counsel, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 11.02.2019 is made absolute till submission of report

under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (challan). Thereafter, petitioner will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

With these observations, the present petition stands disposed off.

May 13, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No