

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(129)

CWP-3615-2019

Date of Decision: February 08, 2019

Shakuntla Devi and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is that they are entitled to change the option given for adopting the pay scale as per the Notification dated 24.12.1992.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that similarly situated persons have already been given the said benefit in view of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.24257 of 2011 decided on 18.01.2012.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-8) and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-8) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-8), the present writ

petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 28.09.2018 (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

February 08, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No