

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3512-2019

Date of Decision: 13.02.2019

Parveen Khatana

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner before this Court is that pursuant to advertisement dated 16.04.2018, the petitioner applied for the post of Male Sub Inspector under BCB category, however, result is not in accordance with the advertisement as he has not been called for Physical Screening Test.

Learned State counsel has produced the result of written examination of the petitioner wherein petitioner has secured 29.6 marks which are much less marks than cut off marks under BCB category.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed at this stage. However, the petitioner can get the information under RTI if he wants to clarify.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

13.02.2019
rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No