

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRR(F)-82-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.3.2019**

Joginder

.....PETITIONER(s).

VERSUS

Poonam

.....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.1.2019 passed by Family Court, Sonapat vide which the respondent-wife was granted maintenance @ ₹ 3,000/- per month.

The facts of the case are that the respondent wife moved an application under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of maintenance on the allegations that the petitioner has neglected and refused to maintain her. It is specifically pleaded that she has no source of income whereas the petitioner is an engineer and he is having a maintenance business of different mobile towers of different companies. Apart from it, he has seven acres of land and he earns ₹ 1 lc per month.

After giving adequate opportunities to the parties to adduce evidence, learned trial court awarded maintenance @ ₹ 3,000/- per month

to the respondent wife and the counsel for the petitioner submits that that amount has not been paid by the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the quantum of maintenance is not exorbitant, rather it is most appropriate to the circumstances of the case.

Dismissed.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties may be referred for mediation. The petitioner is at liberty to move such an application before the executing court and if such application is filed, the executing court shall make efforts for amicable settlement between the parties.

March 12, 2019
Paritosh Kumar

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>