

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-6027-2019

Date of Decision: February 20, 2019

Meenu and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. is for directing the respondents no. 2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directed respondents no. 4 to 9 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.

Vide order dated 08.02.2019, this Court was directed to find out the existence of the alleged threat, as mentioned in the petition.

Learned State counsel, being instructed by SI Balvir Singh, Police Station City South Moga, submits that as per the verification of the police, there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners. It is further submitted by the learned State counsel that respondents no. 4 to 9, except respondent no.7, have given in writing as well that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they are no more concerned with the life of the petitioners. So far as respondent no.7 is concerned, the statement

could not be recorded as he was reported to be away to Himachal Pradesh. In view of this, it is submitted by the learned State counsel that present petition has been rendered infructuous due to non-existence of threat.

In view of above, this Court finds no reason to continue with the present petition. No further orders, in this case, are required.

Disposed of as infructuous.

February 20, 2019
vkd

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No