

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

Crl. Misc. No.M-5761 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 07.02.2019

Baljit Singh and another

....Petitioners

vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The allegation of the petitioners is that their uncle in connivance with the revenue officials has forged revenue documents and has sold land belonging to the share of the petitioners as well. Although a representation dated 22.1.2018/1.2.2018 (Annexure P-4) has been submitted but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, learned standing counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Considering the nature of order being passed, no notice is necessary to be issued to respondents No.5 to 9.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 22.1.2018/1.2.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case found necessary, within a period of eight weeks.

February 07, 2019

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

poonam

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No