

CRM-M-6129-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6129-2019

Date of Decision: 10.05.2019

Gursimranjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Vivek Salathia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.164 dated 27.09.2017, registered at Police Station Lopoke, Amritsar Rural, Amritsar, under Sections 307, 323, 148 and 149 of the IPC (challan presented under Sections 307, 323 and 34 of the IPC) and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent No.1-State and contested this petition.

Respondents No.2 and 3 have also appeared through their counsel.

CRM-M-6129-2019

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submit that parties have effected the compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that one of the injured has not supported the prosecution case before the trial Court.

The petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of case may take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

10.05.2019

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No