

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6533 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.03.2019**

Lakha Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.562 dated 07.07.2018, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 13.02.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Contends that the entire revenue record was available with the banker at the time of sanctioning of the loan and thus, the petitioner cannot be blamed for committing any fraud in the matter.

Notice of motion for 13.03.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of the above order, petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Karambir.

In view of above, interim order dated 13.02.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

March 13, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No