

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-5805 of 2019

Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Suraj

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. RKS Brar, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of regular bail, upon him arraigned as an accused in FIR no.113 dated 24.02.2017 registered at Police Station Sector-7, Faridabad, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 342, 365, 392, 302, 201, 506 of the IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act.

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated February 13, 2019, a report has been received from the learned trial court (Additional District & Sessions Judge, Faridabad), to the effect that the trial has in fact concluded on March 08, 2019, with Mr. Brar submitting that the petitioner has actually been convicted of the offences that he was charged with including one under Section 302 of the IPC.

Consequently, the petition being one seeking the concession of bail during the pendency of the trial, it has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such, with the petitioner not having been admitted to bail.

As regards non-compliance of the order passed by this Court in the previous petition filed by the petitioner, i.e. CRM-M-38984 of 2018, which was dismissed on 24.09.2018 with a direction to the trial court to conclude the trial within a period of three months from that date, it has been stated in the report filed by that court that the said order was never brought to the notice of the Presiding Officer, till the second order dated February 13, 2019 was passed in this petition.

In any case, the trial now having concluded, no further direction is required to be given by this court in that regard.

13.03.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No