

217.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5767-2019

Date of decision: 04.04.2019

NAGENDER

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 14.10.2018 registered under Sections 354-D, 509 of IPC (Section 12 of POCSO Act, 2012 added lateron) at Police Station Women Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the complainant who is mother of the prosecutrix, the prosecutrix and her father have been examined in the case. They have not supported the case of the prosecution and are rather declared hostile. He has produced the statements of these witnesses i.e. PW3 (mother of the victim), PW4 (victim) and PW5 (father of the victim).

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts and states that as against 14 witnesses cited by the prosecution, 6 witnesses have

been examined and no witness has deposed against the petitioner.

In view of above, this Court deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.04.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No