

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3421 of 2019

Date of Decision: 29.08.2019

Rajesh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

1. Short reply by way of affidavit of Dinesh Kumar, Deputy Superintendent, District Jail, Gurugram filed in Court is taken on record. Copy supplied.

2. In paragraph No. 11 of the affidavit, it has been averred that the petitioner has not moved an application for agricultural parole along with required documents like *Jamabandi* and *Khasra Girdawri* of the land. The same is reproduced as follows:

11. That, this is to submit before the Hon'ble High Court that till date the petitioner has not moved his fresh application for agriculture parole along with the requisite documents i.e. 'Jamabandi' and 'Khasra Girdawri' of the land in his possession on which agricultural activities are to be carried out, as ordered by the Hon'ble High Court, Chandigarh order dated 16.08.2019, received in this office on 22.08.2019. In this regard, this is to submit before the

Hon'ble High Court that the parole case of the petitioner for the purpose of agriculture will be considered taking into consideration Sumit's case as and when the above said petitioner moves his application along with the requisite documents i.e. 'Jamabandi' and 'Khasra Girdawari' of the land in his possession on which agricultural activities are to be carried out.”

3. Mr. Mohunta submits that co-accused has been granted parole and on the basis of which the petitioner has sought parity of treatment.

4. In view of this, the petitioner will make a fresh application together with the necessary documents and then the respondents will consider his request for parole keeping in mind the equal treatment amongst the co-convicts. Fresh orders be passed within a week for the purpose of grant of agricultural parole. Such conditions be imposed on the petitioner as may be deemed fit by the competent authority.

5. With the above observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

29.08.2019

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*