

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

Crl. Misc. No.M-5937 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 07.02.2019

Ramandeep Singh and others

....Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr.Rajnish Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners allege that they are being harassed by the Fazilka police allegedly because Jaspreet Singh (brother-in-law of petitioner No.1) has committed some crime. Said Jaspreet Singh is not in India and the petitioners cannot be held liable for his actions. Despite representation dated 27.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) having been submitted, the police has failed to take any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, learned DAG, Punjab accepts notice. Let four copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Considering the nature of order being passed, no notice is necessary to be issued to respondents No.4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to objectively consider the representation dated 27.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case found necessary, within a period of eight weeks.

February 07, 2019

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No