

Gurcharan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Bhakra Beas Management Board and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- None for the parties.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Petition was filed in year 1996 for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorary quashing the impugned order dated 04.04.1996 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.1 and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion.

2. None appears for the petitioner. In view of the Statement of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in previous date of hearing that the case file has been taken by the petitioner from arguing counsel and is not in touch with him, a fresh notice was issued to the petitioner. Office report reflects that the petitioner could not be served at the official address given in the memo of parties as he has retired during the pendency of the petition and the current residential address of the petitioner is not known.

3. In all likelihood, by sheer passage of more than two decades from the time when the petition was filed has either rendered the relief infructuous or petitioner has otherwise lost interest in prosecuting the same.

4. Accordingly, writ petition is dismissed as infructuous with liberty to move appropriate application, if so advised, in case, any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

25.11.2019
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No