

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 486 of 2019
Date of decision: 14.8.2019

Suresh Kumar Goyal

.. Petitioner

v.

Rakesh Kumar Sharma and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 30.11.2018 passed by this court in CWP No. 15533 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Petition is hereby disposed of with the direction that in case petitioner sends a fresh copy of the legal notice/representation to the concerned authority alongwith a copy of this order by registered/speed post, the authority shall pass a speaking order at the earliest, in any case not later than six weeks of receipt thereof. If any amount is found due to the petitioner, payment of same be released after sanction from the Finance Department, if required.”

On notice, the respondents had filed reply by way of affidavit of Ravinder Singh Bansal, Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation

Division No. 2, Mansa. Along with the reply, order dated 8.5.2019 has been

annexed whereby balance payment due to the petitioner has been released by cheque No. 206392 dated 1.4.2019 and the claim with regard to interest has been rejected.

No one is present on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the reply filed, the order passed by this court has been complied with. In case the petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 8.5.2019, he shall be at liberty to avail remedies available in accordance with law. The petition is disposed of.

However, it is made clear that in case there is any discrepancy in the reply filed, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

14.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No