

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

Crl. Misc. No.M-5502 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 05.02.2019

Gurmeet Kaur

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that she and her family are being harassed by the police on account of a complaint made by respondent No.5, who is the estranged wife of her son. A representation dated 22.9.2018 (Annexure P-2) has been submitted but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. S.P.Singh, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice. Let five copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Considering the nature of order being passed, no notice is necessary to be issued to respondent No.5.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to objectively consider the representation dated 22.9.2018 (Annexure P-2) and to take appropriate action thereupon, in case found necessary, within a period of eight weeks.

February 05, 2019

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No