

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR (F) No.67 of 2019.
Decided on:- February 07, 2019.

Sandeep Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Savita.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present criminal revision against the order dated 05.11.2018 passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Pathankot, whereby in a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. filed by the respondent-wife, learned family Court has awarded interim maintenance of Rs.6,000/- per month to the respondent-wife with effect from the date of filing of the application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the respondent-wife has concealed the fact about the employment of the petitioner-husband. He is no more working with IndusInd Bank as he was made to resign for not achieving the required target. As on date, the petitioner is earning only Rs.6500/- per month. The respondent-wife is a well-educated lady as she is M.A., B.Ed. and B.Lib. and, therefore, she is earning Rs.20,000/- per month which is sufficient to maintain herself.

He has prayed that the matter be referred to the mediation and conciliation centre enabling the parties to reach some amicable settlement.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that apart from the fact that the maintenance so awarded by learned family Court is interim in nature, the plea of the petitioner that as on date, he is earning Rs.6500/- per month only is required to be considered during the course of final proceedings before learned family Court. The amount of Rs.6,000/- per month as interim maintenance granted to the respondent-wife to the mind of this Court is not on higher side. Therefore, no interference is warranted with the impugned order dated 05.11.2018 passed by learned family Court.

Accordingly, affirming the impugned order dated 05.11.2018 passed by learned family Court, present criminal revision, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

However, the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter be referred to mediation and conciliation centre, can be considered at the level of family Court, Pathankot for which the parties may make necessary prayer there.

February 07, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No