

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

Crl. Misc. No.M-5391 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 05.02.2019

Saroj Kumari

....Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Chander Kant Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The allegations of the petitioner are that the private respondents trespassed into her plot and inflicted injuries upon her, one of which is grievous in nature. Despite representation dated 21.10.2018 (Annexure P-5), the police has not taken any action even though the DDR has been recorded.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, learned AAG, Punjab, accepts notice. Let five copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Keeping in view the nature of order being passed, no notice is necessary to be issued to respondents No.5 to 7.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to objectively consider the representation dated 21.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action thereupon, in case found necessary, within a period of eight weeks. Along with certified copy of this order, a copy of the representation (Annexure P-5) shall also be supplied.

February 05, 2019

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No