

**115**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.5702 of 2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 07.02.2019**

Harwinder Singh Dhillon and another

..... Petitioners

versus

The State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

**\*\*\***

Present : Mr. Sanjeev K.Virk, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raj Kumar Rathore, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

**\*\*\***

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 8.

Admittedly petitioner No.2 would attain the age of majority in May, 2019. At the very outset respondents No.4 & 5 also caused appearance and asked that they may be allowed to meet petitioner No.2- their daughter. They were permitted to meet the girl in my chamber. After they met petitioner No.2 they came and stated that if petitioner No.2 accompanies them they would solemnize her marriage with petitioner No.1.

The petitioners and their counsel express their suspicion about the motives

of the respondents No.4 and 5. At that stage, I asked respondents No.4 and 5 to make a statement in Court that they would marry of petitioner No.2 with petitioner No.1 but they have refused to do so. Though I realized that petitioner No.2 is not yet a major but the fact is that she will become a major in 3 months.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of his proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) wherein his date of birth is mentioned as 06.08.1992 whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Birth Certificate (Annexure P-2) depicting her date of birth as 03.05.2001. They have also moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar (Annexure P-4) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy and certifying the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**07.02.2019**  
*pooja sharma-I*

**( AJAY TEWARI )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No