

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-5253-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 11.2.2019**

Rajat

....Petitioner

vs.

The State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.711 dated 01.12.2018 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307 of IPC and under Section 25 of Arms Act 1959 at PS City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the main accused is already in custody and the petitioner was not named. Even in the CCTV footage, the petitioner is only present there and no overt role has been attributed to him. He has further argued that similarly situated co-accused Abhishek was also granted concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 21.1.2019 passed in CRM-M-453-2019 (Annexure P-1).

Learned DAG on instructions from ASI Anil Kumar has very fairly accepted these factual assertions.

I see no reason to take a different view.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed in the same terms as in

CRM-M-453-2019 titled as **Abhishek vs. State of Haryana.**

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

11.2.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No