

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

Civil Writ Petition No.3080 of 2019
Date of Decision: March 14th, 2019

Raj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in this writ petition is to the order dated 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana-respondent No.1, order dated 18.11.2011 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak, order dated 30.07.2007 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Collector, Ganaur and the order dated 20.09.2006 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Assistant Collector Ist Grade, whereby *naksha bey* was approved, against which petitioner being aggrieved, had availed of remedy which had resulted in dismissal thereof.

2. It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the authorities below have not been able to appreciate the true position. The mode of partition has not been followed while preparing *naksha bey* and, therefore, the same cannot sustain.

3. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and with his assistance, have gone through the records of the case but do not find myself in agreement with the counsel for the petitioner as the authorities below have rightly proceeded to partition the land and issue *naksha bey*, which order has been upheld upto the

Financial Commissioner.

4. Finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same stands dismissed.

March 14th, 2019
Puneet

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No