

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3140 of 2019
Date of Decision : 04.02.2019**

Harbhajan Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a better one with better particulars showing the order or any other document supporting the contention of the petitioner that he had discharged the duties on ad-hoc basis prior to the regularization of his service, which service has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

February 04, 2019
kanchan

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No