

Sr. No.391

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13162 of 1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.10.2019**

Munish Puri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Bajwa, Additional A.G., Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

When the case was called out on 19.08.2019, none appeared for the petitioner.

2. Same is the position even today, despite the fact that this Court had directed Registry to issue fresh notice to the petitioner.

3. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved with the report that he is not working at the given address i.e. College where he was working at the time of filing the writ petition.

4. Perusal of the case would reflect that termination of the services of the petitioner was stayed by this Court vide order dated 28.08.1996.

5. Even otherwise, impugned order dated 03.08.1996 (Annexure P-8), vide which petitioner was terminated from

service, it seems that relief qua quashing of the same has been rendered infructuous by sheer efflux of time as the petition has been pending for more than 22 years.

6. In the premise, petitioner in likelihood would have superannuated from service and does not seem to be interested to press his petition.

7. Writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file an application, in case, any cause of action still survives.

01.10.2019
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No