

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-9-SB of 2005
Date of Decision:01.03.2019

Mauji Ram

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present appeal has been filed against the order dated 04.12.2004 passed by learned Special Judge, Panipat, whereby notice to the appellant being surety was issued regarding the forfeiture of bond of Rs. 20,000/- as well as recovery thereof.

Paper book reveals that the present appeal was admitted on 29.03.2005 and this Court on 31.01.2019 directed the learned District and Sessions Judge, Panipat to apprise the Court about the fate of the trial as well as P.O. proceedings arising out of FIR No. 202 dated 02.08.1996 under Section 18 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Samalkha.

In pursuance of the above order dated 31.01.2019, report

from learned District and Sessions Judge, Panipat has been received.

A perusal of the same reveals that an amount of Rs. 20,000/- was deposited by the appellant on 16.08.2005 before the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Panipat and therefore the proceedings for the recovery of the amount in question were dropped against him.

In view of the above, the impugned order dated 04.12.2004 pales into insignificance as the appellant has already made compliance of the notice issued to him. Consequently, the present appeal is rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

March 01, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no