

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-5100-2019

Date of Decision:07.02.2019

Hitesh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, A.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently the offence punishable under Section 66-E of the I.T. Act has not been mentioned in the head-note of the petition. He seeks withdrawal of the present petition, however, with liberty to file a fresh petition by incorporating all Sections as mentioned in the FIR.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as aforesaid.

February 07, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No