

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4742 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: January 31, 2019**

Jaspreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sandeep Vashisht, DAG, Haryana.

Ms.Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Sandeep Godara, Advocate
for respondents No.6.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents Nos.1 to 3 not to harass the petitioners and their family members or relatives at the instance of private respondents No.4 to 7.

It is the case of the petitioners that they are major. The date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 15.12.1994 and date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 17.07.1989 and copies of their Aadhar Cards depicting this fact are attached as Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively. Petitioner No.2 is working as Manager at a Petrol Pump at Gurdaspur. The petitioners solemnized their marriage at Laxmi Narayan Mandir, Behrampur Road, Gurdaspur on 02.02.2017. A certificate dated 02.02.2017 issued by Shri Lakshmi Narayan

Mandir (Regd.) has been annexed as Annexure P-3. Some photographs stated to be of the marriage are annexed as Annexure P-4.

Respondent Nos.4 and 5, Sukhdev Singh and Baljeet Kaur are the parents of petitioner No.1. Respondent No.4, father of petitioner No.1 is stated to be serving as Sub-Inspector in Border Security Force and is presently posted in a difficult field area. Respondent No.6 Manpreet Singh is her brother and respondent No.7 Harjinder Kaur is her paternal aunt. Respondent No.6 and 7 are present in Court. Both the petitioners are also present in Court.

Petitioner No.1 was permitted to meet respondents No.6 and 7 in Court. With a view to independently ascertain the wishes of petitioner No.1, I requested learned counsel for the States of Punjab and Haryana, present in court, to interact with her. She has categorically stated in court that she had solemnized marriage with petitioner No.2 of her own free will, without any coercion, enticement or pressure. She stated that, as of now she has decided to go back to her paternal house. Respondent Nos.6 and 7, who are present in Court have assured that they would not harass or intimidate petitioner No.2 or his family members directly or indirectly. They also undertake on behalf of other family members that there would be no threat, coercion or pressure on petitioner No.2 in any manner. Petitioner No.2 also undertakes that in view of statement of petitioner No.1, he would not threaten, intimidate or intermeddle with petitioner No.1 or her family.

The parties shall remain bound by their undertakings and it is so ordered.

It has been ascertained from the parties that petitioner No.1 had left her home and joined petitioner No.2 only on 30.1.2019 and immediately thereafter, the instant petition has been filed.

Petitioner No.1 has left the Court for her paternal home along with respondents No.6 and 7.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

January 31, 2019

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

gian

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No