

210 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4805-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:19.03.2019

Jaya Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr.Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. is for grant of bail pending trial in case FIR No.940 dated 15.09.2018 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that she made the alleged impersonator Daya Ram to learn the signatures of Gyan Parkash, which were later on used by him for impersonating the said Gyan Parkash. But qua the execution of the alleged sale deed, the petitioner is not alleged to be either the signatory or beneficiary of the sale deed. The petitioner is not alleged to be involved in the transaction in any other capacity whatsoever. It is further submitted that challan has already been filed against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 28.10.2018 and she is not required for any further investigation purposes. It is further submitted that the co-accused of the petitioner have been extended the benefits of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner had been instrumental in the process of fraud committed in

this case. It is further pointed out that in fact, the petitioner also got recorded the DDR with the police; regarding loss of original documents of the property, which led to the fabrication of new documents qua the said property. However, it is not denied that the petitioner is in custody since 28.10.2018, challan has already been filed and that the co-accused of the petitioner has been granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

In view the above submissions made by counsel for the petitioner, but without expressing any further opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

19.03.2019
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No