

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(117)

CWP-2865-2019

Date of Decision: February 01, 2019

Maya Devi

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The grievance raised by the petitioner is that though she has already retired from service on 30.06.2018, her all pensionary benefits have been withheld except the payment of Rs. 1 lac paid to her after her retirement.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that there is no valid justification with the respondents to withhold the retiral benefits as there are no proceedings pending against the petitioner, which would entitle the respondents to withhold the benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 04.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 04.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) by passing appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in

the legal notice dated 04.12.2018 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 04.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

February 01, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No