

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3219-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.02.2019

Ms. Neeraj Sharma & another

--Petitioners

Versus

M/s Mittal Township (P) Ltd. & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Even though, the principal prayer in the instant writ petition is for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari for quashing of the award dated 24.8.2017 (Annexure P-9) passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, yet, counsel would argue that the petitioners are actually seeking implementation of the award.

Counsel makes a categorical statement that he is not raising any challenge to the award dated 24.8.2017.

Statement of counsel to such effect is recorded. Accordingly, the principal prayer in the petition does not survive.

In so far as implementation of the directions contained in the award dated 24.8.2017 (Annexure P-9) the petitioners ought to take resort to execution of the same.

No interference under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in exercise of writ jurisdiction is made out.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

05.02.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No