

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

CRM-M-4849-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision:04.02.2019

Pooja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner was never brought up by her parents and she was residing with her aunt ('masi'). The petitioner entered into marriage with Arvind Kumar as her aunt wanted to get her married somewhere else.

Even otherwise, the petition does not disclose any mode, manner or source of alleged threat, much less at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, who are the parents of the petitioner.

It is also not in dispute that the petitioner along with her husband had filed previously bearing No.CRM-M-57325-2018 for the same relief. However, the same was withdrawn as her husband already stood indicted in FIR and PO proceedings against him were not disclosed.

In view of the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case, it is evident that the parents or other relatives have adopted a remedy

in accordance with law and got the FIR registered against Arvind Kumar and the same cannot be construed as a threat to the petitioner. Considering the contents of the petition and the conflicting stand adopted by the petitioner, no ground is made out for issuance of directions as prayed for.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

04.02.2019

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No