

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2787 of 2019

Date of decision: March 25, 2019

Anil Yadav Pachwania

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a request for withdrawal of the present writ petition to enable the petitioner to make a representation to the Government or the competent authority in the matter of grievance raised in the present petition.

We think before issuing the writ of mandamus, it is a trite law that the competent authority should be first approached and thereafter, the High Court in its extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Petition is accordingly, disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority. If the representation is filed by the petitioner, the same be decided as expeditiously as possible and preferably within 8 weeks from today.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

March 25, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No