

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CW-63-2019 in CWP-37819-2018
Date of decision: 21.02.2019**

Het Ram & others

....Review/petitioners

Versus

National Highway Authority of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Vivek Khatri, Advocate, for the review/petitioners.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Review of the order dated 06.09.2017 is sought on the basis of order passed in the case of similarly situated landowners, bearing CWP-17010-2017 titled *Vinod Kumar Vs. The State of Haryana & others* (Annexure A-3).

Said order was never part of the writ petition and now, the said order is sought to be reviewed on the strength of additional document. The argument raised that the other landowners have got similar relief (Annexure A-3) would not be a ground to review the order. The petitioners have only been relegated to their statutory remedy, which was not brought to the notice of the Co-ordinate Bench, while the order was passed in Vinod Kumar (supra). Vide the impugned order, this Court had noticed that it had been consistently relegating the landowners to their alternative remedy, before the Arbitrator/Civil Court of Original Jurisdiction, so that there is no “Leap-Frogging” effect. In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that no case for review of the order dated 06.09.2017 is made out, as the interest of the petitioners has been duly protected.

Resultantly, in view of the above, the present review application is hereby dismissed.

21.02.2019
Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*