

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2913-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-01.02.2019**

Sushila Gupta

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, D.A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take appropriate legal action against the respondent Nos.6 & 7, who in violation of the Building Bye-laws are destroying the property of the petitioner without acquiring the necessary compliances.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Kotwali, District Amritsar to consider and decide representation dated 09.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, D.A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar to consider and decide the representation dated 09.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

01.02.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No