

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4505-2019**

**Date of decision: 31.1.2019**

Sumit Dutta

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate,  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate,  
for the complainant-respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.**

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner(s) has prayed for quashing of FIR No.19 dated 6.1.2014 for offences punishable under Sections 498A, 494, 506 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-2) arrived at between the parties before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate, has appeared for respondent No.2 while Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

It has been submitted that the parties have amicably settled their dispute before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court vide

Counsel for the complainant-respondent has admitted this fact. He further submits that as per settlement, first instalment of ₹ 30,000/- has been received.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Sonia Datta daughter of Dil Bahadur Rana. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexures P-2.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No. 19 dated 6.1.2014 under Sections 498A, 494, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner(s). However, the parties would be bound by the terms and conditions of their settlement Annexure P-2 made before Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

**January 31, 2019**  
Paritosh Kumar

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | yes/no |
| Whether reportable        | : | yes/no |