

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-2602-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 8.5.2019**

Shashi Kant

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kanwaljit Singh Walia

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 4.5.2018 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Chandigarh vide which in a civil suit filed under Order 37 of the Code of Civil Procedure for recovery of Rs. 68,07,920/-, permission for leave to defend was granted to defendant.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the case file carefully.

Plaintiff claims that he had given a cheque of Rs. 50,00,000/- to defendant for the purchase of the property. However, defendant in the lengthy written statement had taken certain pleas that it was illegal money and some part of it was given to some authority and some part of it was to be kept by him. Therefore, he has denied the liability.

After going through the plaint and written statement, I am of the view that there are some triable issues on which evidence is required.

Therefore, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order dated

4.5.2018 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Chandigarh.

Dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that he will be satisfied if a direction is given to the trial Court for expeditious disposal of the case considering that huge amount of Rs. 68,07,920/- is involved.

In view of his request and facts and circumstances of the case, trial Court is directed to dispose of the civil suit expeditiously by granting short adjournments.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2019
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No