

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-4772-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 02.09.2019

TEK CHAND

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Akshay Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.1199 dated 18.10.2017, under Section 307 read with Section 34 IPC, 420, 468, 472 and 120-B IPC (Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 added later on) registered at Police Station Central Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been attributed any injury in the FIR wherein it has been mentioned that the brother of the petitioner namely Dhaiya @ Jasbir had fired a shot which hit the complainant on his left leg near ankle. He also contends that the petitioner is 24 years of age and is in custody for over 1 year and 10 months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Sanjeet Singh, states that no overt act has been attributed to the petitioner but in the statement of the co-accused Krishan Kumar to the police, it is mentioned that the petitioner had also fired a shot which, however, did

not hit anyone. He also contends that 10 out of 23 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is 24 years of age, he is in custody for over 1 year, 10 months and the conclusion of trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

02.09.2019.

SwarnjitS