

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.652 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.07.2019**

Harpal Singh and another

Petitioners

Versus

S. Amit Dhaka, Secretary, Punjab Mandi Board, SAS Nagar.

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shaurya Punj, Advocate for
Mr. T.V.S. Lehal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 10.01.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.26898 of 2016.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“This petition is disposed of in limine, at this stage, with a direction to the Secretary, Punjab Mandi Board, Chandigarh, to consider the claim of the petitioners as represented in legal notice dated 3.11.2016, Annexure P1, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months and the decision will be communicated by post to the petitioners.”

Learned counsel for the respondent states that order of this Court has been complied with. He has produced reply by way of affidavit of Dharampal Gupta, Secretary, Punjab Mandi Board, SAS Nagar, annexing order dated 31.03.2017 as Annexure R-1, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioners states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if aggrieved of order passed by the respondent.

The rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

July 17, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No