

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2581-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:30.01.2019**

Saroj Bala

... Petitioner

Versus

Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. R.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for the respondent.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel submits that the petitioner had applied for a retail outlet under the State of Haryana in pursuance to the applications having been invited by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited. Date of application was 17.12.2018. It is asserted that at the time of applying online, on account of a bonafide inadvertent mistake, the application was submitted qua Group 2 instead of applying for Group 1 in column No.9 of the application. It is contended that as per terms and conditions of the brochure issued by the respondent/Corporation, the petitioner was eligible to apply under Group 1 as a lease deed for a period of 20 years pertaining to a piece of land had already been entered into even though the same was registered on 14.12.2018 (Annexure P-5).

The short grievance raised in the petition is for issuance of directions to the respondent/authorities to permit correction in column No.9 of the application for Group 2 to read as Group 1.

The precise contention raised by counsel is that under the brochure in response to which the application has been made by the petitioner, there is no bar with regard to such correction being made. Further submitted that the date of draw of lots for grant of retail outlets in favour of eligible applicants have not yet been announced.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent/Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

Mr. Kapoor, learned counsel very fairly states that in case the petitioner has filed any application/representation for the necessary correction, the same would be dealt with in accordance with law and a final decision would be conveyed to the petitioner.

In the light of such statement, no further directions are required to be passed.

In the eventuality of the petitioner having already preferred a representation/application, the final decision would be taken within a period of 10 days from today.

Disposed of.

30.01.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |