

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 233

Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-4378 of 2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: April 10, 2019

Vipul Mehta

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Surbhi Mehta

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate, for Mr. Navkiran Singh,
Advocate, for the respondent.

. . .

Raj Shekhar Atttri, J (Oral)

This is a petition for transfer of case No.MNT-125/28/2018 dated 08.10.2018 titled 'Surbhi Mehta & another vs. Vipul Mehta' pending in the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala to a court of any competent jurisdiction outside District Kapurthala.

It has been argued that father of respondent is a practicing lawyer at Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala. Earlier also, vide order dated 23.01.2018 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-26346 of 2016, this Court had transferred a complaint case bearing No.9 dated 12.05.2016 under

the provisions of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 titled 'Surbhi Mehta vs. Vipul Mehta & others' to Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, case No.MNT-125/28/2018 dated 08.10.2018 titled 'Surbhi Mehta & another vs. Vipul Mehta' pending in the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala, is transferred to the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

However, petitioner shall pay an amount of ₹ 2,000/- for the to & fro journey from Sultanpur Lodhi to Nakodar, to the respondent – wife on her each appearance before the transferee court. It is made clear that this amount shall be separate from the amount of grant of maintenance.

Disposed of accordingly.

April 10, 2019
avin

(Raj Shekhar Attri)
Judge

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No