

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.8775 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision.10.01.2019**

Surjit Kaur	Vs	...Petitioner
Shubeg Singh and another		...Respondents

2. C.R. No.8882 of 2017

Surjit Kaur	Vs	...Petitioner
Balbir Singh		...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the revision petitions against the interim orders passed in pending suits have become infructuous, as the main suits itself have been decided.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the respondent, the revision petitions are dismissed as having become infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the counsel for the petitioner to revive the petitions in case the statement is found to be incorrect.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

January 10, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking No

Whether Reportable No