

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.954 of 2016
Date of Decision.16.01.2019**

Kamal Kishore Sharma	Vs	...Petitioner
Ramesh Kumar and others		...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate
for respondents.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Mr. Rajan Bansal, learned counsel appearing for the respondents has brought to the notice of this court that the suit is at the fag end of the trial.

Confronted with such situation, Mr. Sethi, on instruction from his client, wants to withdraw the application moved under Order 7 Rule 10 CPC, which has been returned owing to want of territorial jurisdiction, however, direction may be given to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible and decide the objections in case evidence is led on that point.

In my view, the aforementioned prayer is most innocuous and cannot be said to be adverse to other side. Resultantly, the revision petition is disposed of with direction to the trial Court to decide the suit as expeditiously as possible after taking into consideration all the legal objections taken by the petitioner-defendant in accordance with law.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

January 16, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No