

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(109)

CWP-2550-2019

Date of Decision: January 30, 2019

Akem Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harbans Lal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is for the release of the pensionary benefits, which the petitioner is entitled for after his retirement on 31.03.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the service benefits have not been released to the petitioner despite the fact that approximately 10 months have elapsed after the retirement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that even leave encashment was paid on 09.01.2019, Group Insurance Scheme benefits were paid to the petitioner on 18.01.2019 and the General Provident Fund was paid to the petitioner on 05.12.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner is entitled for the interest on the delayed payments as well in view of the law laid down by the full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has

served the respondents with a representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 30, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No