

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.4326 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.02.2019**

**Jagtar Singh @ Boxer
Versus
State of Punjab**

**.....Petitioner
.....Respondent**

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. Petitioner is in fourth attempt to seek regular bail in case bearing FIR No.108 dated 08.04.2016 registered under Sections 307, 353, 186, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar.

[2]. Earlier CRM-M No.39473 of 2017 was dismissed on merits vide order dated 27.03.2018.

[3]. Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Virsa Singh submitted that the petitioner is a gangster and has been involved in 43 cases, out of which 19 cases are under Section 307 IPC, 9 cases are under Section 174-A IPC, 9 cases are under Sections 325/324 IPC, 3 cases are under Sections 186, 188 IPC, 1 case is under Section 302 IPC, 6 cases are under

Arms Act, 1 case is under Excise Act and 3 cases are under NDPS Act. By referring to involvement of the petitioner in the aforesaid cases, learned State Counsel submitted that the petitioner has antecedent criminal behaviour and should not be granted indulgence by this Court. In the present case also he attempted to fire upon police party and the same went missing. Firing mechanism of the countrymade pistol would remain debatable in the context of FSL report and report submitted by the Scientific Officer (Ballistic) dated 22.03.2018.

[4]. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in custody only in the present case. In other cases, either he has been discharged or has been granted bail by the Court.

[5]. Earlier the bail was declined by this Court on 27.03.2018. It appears from the order attached with this petition that the petitioner has not approached the Court of Sessions thereafter in order to apprise the Court in respect of changed circumstances.

[6]. In view of above, I am of the view that the petitioner should approach the Court of Sessions at the first instance in view of changed circumstances (if any) according to the petitioner and shall submit the details of the cases where he has been exonerated, granted bail or discharged by the Court. In the

event of filing any such application before the Court of Sessions, the same shall be decided in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

[7]. Disposed of.

06.02.2019

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No