

Pawan Singhal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Nisha Puri, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

It is contended that despite repeated representations, the police is not conducting an enquiry. The last representation is dated 06.12.2018.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. S.P. Singh, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 4 to 9.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to conduct an enquiry based upon representation dated 06.12.2018 (Annexure P-3) after associating the private respondents also and to pass a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

January 30, 2019

reena

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**