

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

149

CWP-2911-2019

Date of Decision: 01.02.2019

Lord Krishna Education Society (Regd.)

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

The petitioner/society has filed the instant writ petition praying for issuance of directions to respondent No.3 i.e. the Director, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Gurugram, Haryana to approve the faculty of the petitioner/Institute in terms of letter of intent dated 13.09.2018 (Annexure P-3) issued by the National Council for Teachers Education. It is submitted by learned counsel that such approval at the hands of respondent No.3 is a pre-requisite for permanent recognition to be issued in favour of the petitioner by the NCTE for the D.El.Ed. Course.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

A copy of the writ paper-book has been furnished to learned State counsel and who submits that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to examine the matter in the light of representation dated 01.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) that has already been filed.

Counsel for the petitioner does not oppose such cause of action and rather adverts to an order dated 07.08.2018 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.19204 of 2018 (**Naveen Shiksha Samiti vs. State of Haryana and others**) wherein also under similar circumstances, directions had been issued to the Director, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Gurugram to decide the representation that had been preferred by the concerned Society.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a final decision in the matter within a period of three weeks from today.

Disposed of.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not examined the claim/prayer of the petitioner on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.02.2019
anju

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No