

238

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.403 of 2019
Date of Decision: 11.09.2019**

Nirvair Singh and others

Petitioners

Versus

Dr. Nirmaljit Singh Kalsi, IAS, Addl. Chief Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This is a contempt petition filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 31.08.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.21271 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“4. In view of above, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notices dated 28.03.2018, 30.03.2018 and 03.05.2018 (P-7, P-9, P-10 and P-11) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid notices as well as rules, regulations and instructions issued by the Government from time to time within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this

order.

5. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.”

Replies by way of affidavit of Mr. Satish Chandra, IAS, Addl. Chief Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs & Justice and Mr. Dinkar Gupta, IPS, D.G.P., Punjab have been filed today in the Court, same are taken on record. Copies of replies are handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners. In the reply, it has been stated that claim of the petitioners has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, in view of replies filed, states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition as directions of this Court have been complied with.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Petitioners have already been granted liberty by the Writ Court to have recourse to other remedies available under the law, in case grievance survives against the order passed by the respondents.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 11, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No