

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

**CRM-13595-2018
CRM-14834-2019 &
CRM-14934-2019 in/and
CRA-D-289-DB-2012
Date of Decision : 01.10.2019**

Nirmal Singh

... Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Guninder Singh Brar, Advocate for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. H.S.Sullar, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Charu Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-13595-2018, CRM-14834-2019 and CRM-14934-2019

In the present applications, the applicant has prayed that he would not contest the appeal on merits but in the facts and circumstances of the case, it would be appropriate if different sentences awarded to the applicant are directed to run concurrently since all the offences were committed during the course of the same transaction. It has been pointed out that while sentencing, the trial Court has not given any reason why the sentences in the case are to run successively.

Both the counsel for the complainant and the learned Deputy

Advocate General fairly stated that they would have no objection if the sentences are to be run concurrently.

While dismissing the appeal, it is ordered that sentences awarded to the applicant shall run concurrently.

Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending C.M. application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

01.10.2019
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No