

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(111)

CWP-2420-2019

Date of Decision: January 29, 2019

Mehar Chand and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anish Batra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners were entitled for the proportional increment as envisaged in the letter dated 07.01.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the said benefit has not been given to the petitioners in the year which they superannuated.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 16.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 16.12.2018 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

January 29, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No