

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP No. 2468 of 2019

Date of Decision : 29.01.2019

Dharam Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed seeking restoration of Ad-hoc Relief, which was granted to the petitioners by the Government of Haryana.

In support of the claim, the petitioners are relying upon the judgments of this Court passed in CWP No. 4518 of 2000, dated 11.08.2003 (Annexure P-4), CWP No. 16084 of 1997, dated 27.07.2000 (Annexure P-5) and judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court passed in Civil Appeal No. 8661 of 2009, dated 08.12.2015 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in order to claim the relief, as prayed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with the legal notices dated 19.02.2018, 07.03.2018 and 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-8) (Colly) but the same have not been decided so far. Learned counsel states that the petitioners will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide their legal notices dated 19.02.2018, 07.03.2018 and 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-8) (Colly) sent

to the respondents.

Without going into the merits of the case and without commenting upon the entitlement of the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notices dated 19.02.2018, 07.03.2018 and 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-8) (Colly) of the petitioners by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 29, 2019

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*

Whether reportable? *Yes/No*