

239

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8347 of 2015
Date of Decision: 05.03.2019

Prem Chand Mehta

.....Petitioner

Vs

Sudesh Kumar Gupta and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 05.08.2015 passed by the Addl. District Judge, Ferozepur vide which the appeal against the order dated 24.02.2014 passed by the Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.) Ferozepur under Order 39 Rule 2-A CPC was dismissed.

Perusal of the impugned orders would show that both the Courts below have recorded that the rigor of Order 39 Rule 2-A CPC ceases to exist after dismissal of the civil Suit and also in view of fact that the amount which was withdrawn, stood already deposited with the Bank. The ratio of **Kirpal Singh vs. Satish Kumar Sabharwal, 2007(4) Civil Court Cases 112 (P&H)** squarely fortifies the issue in question.

In view of above, there is no scope for interference in the impugned orders passed by the Courts below. This revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

March 05, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No